Dear Councillor

CABINET - THURSDAY, 12 FEBRUARY 2015

I am now able to enclose for consideration at the above meeting the following reports that were unavailable when the agenda was printed.

Agenda Item No.

3. 2015/16 REVENUE BUDGET AND MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY (Pages 1 - 8)

To consider a report by the Head of Resources on the 2015/16 Revenue Budget and Medium Term Financial Strategy (2016/17 to 2019/20).

6. 2014/15 QUARTER 3 CAPITAL REVENUE MONITORING AND FORECAST OUTTURN (Pages 9 - 10)

To consider a report by the Head of Resources.



One Leisure St Neots - 3G Sport Pitch (Replacement)

Portfolio Holder Sponsor: Executive Councillor for Commercial Activities

Reason for Briefing Note

Currently the 2015/16 Budget and MTFS Cabinet paper that is scheduled to be discussed at Cabinet on the 12th February 2015 does not formally require approval of the defined capital programme; such approval will be sought at a later date. Members should note that the revenue implications of capital currently included within the budget do meet current capital commitments.

Approval is required by Cabinet to provide capital funding to the above project to meet Sport England's bid submission deadline of the 23rd March 2015.

Background to the Project

This is a project to be delivered by HDC to replace the current "sand-based" all weather pitch that is coming to the end of its useful life at One Leisure St Neots. The total "estimated" build cost of the project is £355,740, of which Sports England will fund £274,400 (70%). It has been established today (12th February 2015) that to ensure continued service provision that there is a Sports England requirement that a sinking fund is established to meet future replacement costs, this has now been incorporated. Further, a review of income identified that only the incremental costs of the new pitch were included, however current income levels were required to be included. The impact is that over the assessment period (2015/16 to 2019/20) there is a net surplus of £47,274. The original HDC contribution of £117,600 will be paid back by 2018/19 (4 years) and with a minimum economic life of 7years the Council will potentially gain financially in the last 2 remaining years (with appropriate maintenance the economic life could be up to 10 years).

If Sport England's contribution is not forthcoming this project will not go ahead.

The Capital Programme Proforma (outline Business Case) is shown below; this has been scored and reviewed by the Finance Governance Board.

Reason for Decision required at Cabinet (12th February 2015)

The submission of the bid to Sport England is required to be made on the 23rd March 2015. Between now and then it is necessary for some preliminary costings work to be undertaken at a cost of £6,000. If Cabinet approval is delayed until the 19th March 2015 this will not allow enough time for the work required to be completed by the bid submission deadline.

Resources Implications

There is always a risk with "spend-to-save" proposals. However, the Capital Programme Proforma is based on current estimated costs and income modelling. With regard to the latter, usage it expected to expand from the current September to April usage profile to a full-year usage profile. There are letters on file giving support from local sports organisations

and clubs who are currently not using the facility. Further, an outline project submission has been made to Sport England in respect of this project and has been approved.

It is therefore considered that the risk of underachievement is low.

Decision Required at Cabinet (12th February 2015)

Current recommendation as per Budget Setting report 2015/16:

It is recommended that Overview and Scrutiny provides comments to Cabinet in respect of the:

- additional savings that have been achieved (as shown on Table C in Appendix 1).
- the level of reserves currently modelled.
- confirm their continued support of a freeze in Council Tax for:
 - o 2015/16, and
 - o over the period covered by the Medium Term Financial Strategy (2016/17 to 2019/20).

Capital programme and the Fees and Charges Schedule.

Additional recommendation:

Cabinet approve the Councils:

- 1. submission of a bid to Sports England for the One Leisure St Neots 3G Sport Pitch (Replacement) Project.
- capital financial contribution to the One Leisure St Neots 3G Sport Pitch (Replacement) Project of £117,600 subject to the successful approval of the bid noted in 1.

Capital Programme Proforma (outline Business Case)

Project sponsor Name	Jayne Wisely]	
Division	One Leisure St Neots			- -	
DIVISION	Offic Leisure St Neots			J	
Project details Title	One Leisure St Neots Sy	nthetic Pitch & Perimete	er Fencing	7	
				_	
Cost centre number (allocated by Finance	e)	Estimated	life of the proposal		7
Brief outline of the project	The sand dressed full s (60mm) carpet. Assoc existing provision inclur recessed goals to incre costs. The project will union and rugby league first time. The ability to easier and better for pla. The life expectancy of t	iated groundwork will I ding; new fencing, imp lase flexibility of use a improve quality of sen to be played on an ar o subdivide the large p ayers. Cricket will be	be completed and improved security of site and to reduce running vice provision for footbutificial playing surface laying area into small an extra alternative (2)	orovements made to and installation of and maintenance allers and enable rugby in the District for the side games will be	
Estimated start date	01 May 2015	Estimated	completion date	31 August 2015	
Statutory duty					Score
Is there a statutory requirement to underta	ake this project?	(If "Yes" so	core = 5; if "No" score	e =1)	1
, ,	. ,	`	,	,	
Please describe the consequences of not undertaking this scheme.	The scheme is subject application is successfi scheme is not approved synthetic pitch has a lift expires the Council decided to the cost.	ul, Sport England will d the funding from Spo e expectancy of only	contribute 70% to the ort England will be lost 1 - 2 years. If when the	overall cost. If the The existing ne life expectancy	
Have alternative options for delivering	g the project been consi	dered?			
Please give brief details	The alternative will be to the full cost of the repla				
Corporate Plan 2014-2016					Score
The score should indicate the contrit	oution the project make:	s to achieving the C	Corporate Planning	aims.	
1 A Strong Local Economy				60	
	ess growth and investment ture barriers to growth			0	
	and skilled workforce			0	60
o Franklina Švetski. U O U					
2 Enabling Sustainable Growth 2.1 Improve the supply	y of new and affordable ho	using to meet future r	needs	0	
2.2 Develop sustainab	ole growth opportunities in	•		0	
2.3 Enhance our gree	n and built environment			50	50
3 Working With Our Communities					
	nger and more resilient co	mmunities		0	
3.2 Improve health and				100	
3.3 Empower local co	mmunities			0	100
4 Ensuring we are a Customer Focused at	nd Service Led Council				
	siness like and efficient in	•		30	
4.2 Ensure customer	engagement drives service	e priorities and improv	ements	70	100
			Total	Corporate Plan score	310
			Statut	ory indicator - from abov	re 1
			Total Co	orporate Planning score:	310

ncial Details								
Capital costs	5	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Total
Dr	signt Cont	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
	oject Cost	0		0		0		355,740 0
	penditure 02 penditure 03	0		0		0		0
	nking Fund for future replacement	0		25,000	_			100,000
	ofessional fees	0		25,000		25,000		36,260
	ontingencies	0	,	0				0,200
	verage from external bodies (-)	0		0		0		(274,400)
Total	voluge from external bodies ()	0	,	25,000		25,000		217,600
			111,					
Revenue im	nlications	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	Total
I Revende iiii	prications	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
Fn	nployees	0		- 0		0		0
	emises	0		0		0		0
Tra	ansport	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Su	ipplies and services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inc	come (-)	0	(28,500)	(57,000)	(58,350)	(59,768)	(61,256)	(264,874)
Total		0	(28,500)	(57,000)	(58,350)	(59,768)	(61,256)	(264,874)
ilts - whole life cos	<u>st</u>			Results - N	Net Present	<u>Value</u>		
al revenue cost - first	full year (2017-18)	(58,350)						
timated life of the sch	eme/ asset	7]	Net Prese	nt Value £	ļ	(142,873)	
	Total:	-408450		Results - F	Pay Back P	eriod		
al capital cost of the p	proposal	217,600]	Pay Back I	n Years		2018-19	
	Whole life cost:	(190,850)]					
k Assessment								
<u>ent</u>			<u>Likelihood</u>	=low: 5=hig	Impact			
ilure to complete the p	project on time		1	1	2			1
ilure to control contrac	et variations		1		2			I
ilure to complete withi	n budget		1		2			- 1
putational damage to	the Council		1		1			1
sk appetite								

Performance management			<u>Score</u>
Performance indicator	Increased admissions, increased incom	ne,	
Impact assessment		<u>Points</u>	
The impact of not undertaking	<£10,000	10	
the project	£10,001 to £100,000	50	80
	£100,001 to £500,000	80	
	>£500,001	100	
Efficiency savings/ value for mone	ey .		
Please give details of improved	Reduced maintenance and operational	costs. Reduced equipment replacement costs.	
vfm in the services affected	Improved customer satisfaction. Increase	sing sports participation.	
Stakeholders			
·		IDC improving the achieving of Sport England	
How will this proposal impact	Completion of the project will result in H participation and target groups performa		
How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders?			
How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders?	participation and target groups performa	ance indicators.	
How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders? Partnership	participation and target groups performa 70% of the project cost will be funded b	nce indicators. y Sport England if the funding application is	
How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders? Partnership	participation and target groups performation. 70% of the project cost will be funded be successful. Sport England will provide.	ance indicators.	
How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders? Partnership Please describe any	participation and target groups performation and target groups performation. 70% of the project cost will be funded be successful. Sport England will provide facility. Potential to create long-term contact the project cost will be funded by successful.	y Sport England if the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the	
Stakeholders How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders? Partnership Please describe any partnership working and associated benefits	participation and target groups performation and target groups performation. 70% of the project cost will be funded be successful. Sport England will provide facility. Potential to create long-term contact the project cost will be funded by successful.	by Sport England if the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the funding application is as a second application in the funding application is as a second application in the funding application is a second application in the funding application in the funding application is a second application in the funding	
How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders? Partnership Please describe any partnership working and associated benefits	participation and target groups performation and target groups performation. 70% of the project cost will be funded be successful. Sport England will provide facility. Potential to create long-term of facility. One Leisure will work closely were supported to the provided to the project of	by Sport England if the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the funding application is as a second application in the funding application is as a second application in the funding application is a second application in the funding application in the funding application is a second application in the funding	
How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders? Partnership Please describe any partnership working and associated benefits Consultation	participation and target groups performation and target groups performation and target groups performation and target groups performation and target groups performed by the project cost will be funded by successful. Sport England will be funded by the funded by the project groups performed by the project cost will be funded by successful the project cost will be funded by successful. Sport England will be funded by successful the project cost will be funded by successful. Sport England will be funded by successful. Sport England will provide a facility. One Leisure will work closely will be funded by the project cost will be funded by successful. Sport England will provide a facility. One Leisure will work closely will be funded by the project groups performed by the project groups perfo	y Sport England if the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the ommitment from local sports clubs to use OLSN with SALT to develop programmes and to meet	
How will this proposal impact on Stakeholders? Partnership Please describe any partnership working and	participation and target groups performation and target groups performation and target groups performation. 70% of the project cost will be funded be successful. Sport England will provide facility. Potential to create long-term of facility. One Leisure will work closely will be an appeared to the project of the project cost will be funded by successful the project of the proje	by Sport England if the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the development of the use of the funding application is assistance in the funding application is as a second application in the funding application is as a second application in the funding application is a second application in the funding application in the funding application is a second application in the funding	

COMT assessment of the proposal			Score
Harry and decrease the arrest materia. See this			
How would you assess the overall priority for this The score should conform to: 3 = high; 2 = mediu			
The score should conform to: 3 - High, 2 - Medic	III, I – IOW		
Public			1
Political			1
Results - summary			
Occurrents Discours	240	Diele een elitee	
Corporate Plan score	310	Risk appetite:	T.
COMT assessment weighting	2	Statutory service	1
		,	
Total:	620	Impact assessment score	80
<u>Feedback</u>			
Accepted/Rejected			
Brief details and notes			_
Brief details and flotes			

NPV Based on total cost of £355,740

NPV								
Capital	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Preliminaries	0	355,740	0	0	0	0	0	(
Site costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Contractor 01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Contractor 02	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Professional fees	0	36,260	0	0	0	0	0	
Contingencies	0	00,200	0	0	0	0	0	
Leverage from external bodies (-)	0	(274,400)	0	0	0	0	0	
zororago nom oxtornar bodios ()	0	117,600	0	0	0	0		(
Revenue	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
nevenue	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Premises	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Transport	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Supplies and services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
	0	0	0	0	0	0 (24.252)	0	(2.1.122
Income (-)	0	(13,500)	(27,000)	(28, 350)	(29,768)	(31,256)		(34,460
	0	(13,500)	(27,000)	(28,350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460)
Total	0	104,100	(27,000)	(28,350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460
RR	1.00	1.02	1.04	1.06	1.08	1.10	1.13	1.15
Discounted Cash Flow	1.00	0.98	0.96	0.94	0.92	0.91	0.89	0.87
	0	102,059	(25,952)	(26,715)	(27,501)	(28,310)	(29,142)	(30,000
Payback Period								
Capital	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Preliminaries	0	355,740	0	0	0	0	0	C
Site costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Contractor 01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Contractor 02	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Professional fees	0	36,260	0	0	0	0	0	(
Contingencies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Leverage from external bodies (-)	0	(274,400)	0	0	0	0	0	(
	0	117,600	0	0	0	0	0	(
Revenue	1 2014 15	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Premises	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Transport	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Supplies and services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Income (-)	0	(13,500) (13,500)	(27,000) (27,000)	(28,350) (28,350)	(29,768) (29,768)	(31,256) (31,256)		(34,460)
	U	(13,300)	(27,000)	(20,000)	(23,708)	(51,256)	(52,019)	(54,400)
	0	104,100	(27,000)	(28, 350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460)
Total Cash Flow	0	104,100	77,100	48,750	18,982	(12,274)	(45,093)	(79,553)
		. ,	,	-,	-,	. , ,	(-,,	,

NPV Based on total cost of £367,500 (£355,740 + 10% contingency on original HDC contribution)

NPV								
Capital	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Preliminaries	0	391,314	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contractor 01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contractor 02	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Professional fees	0	36,260	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contingencies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Leverage from external bodies (-)	0	(301,840)	0	0	0	0	0	0
zororago nom oxtornar zoaros ()	0	125,734	0	0	0	0	0	0
		123,734						
Revenue	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Premises	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transport	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplies and services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
••	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Income (-)	0	(13,500)	(27,000)	(28,350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460)
	0	(13,500)	(27,000)	(28,350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460)
		(, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	(, ,
Total	0	112,234	(27,000)	(28,350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460)
IRR	1.00	1.02	1.04	1.06	1.08	1.10	1.13	1.15
Discounted Cash Flow	1.00	0.98	0.96	0.94	0.92	0.91	0.89	0.87
	0	110,033	(25,952)	(26,715)	(27,501)	(28,310)	(29,142)	(30,000)
Payback Period	4	2	•	4	5	_	-	•
Capital	1 2014-15	2015-16	3 2016-17	4 2017-18	5 2018-19	6 2019-20	7 2020-21	8 2021-22
	2014-15	2013-10	2010-17	2017-10	2010-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Preliminaries	0	391,314	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contractor 01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contractor 02	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Professional fees	0	36,260	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contingencies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Leverage from external bodies (-)	0	(301,840)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	125,734	0	0	0	0	0	0
Revenue	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Employees	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Premises	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transport	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplies and services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplies and services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Income (-)	0	(13,500)	(27,000)	(28,350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460)
	0	(13,500)	(27,000)	(28,350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460)
	J	(10,000)	(21,000)	(20,000)	(20,700)	(31,230)	(32,019)	(07,700)
	0	112,234	(27,000)	(28,350)	(29,768)	(31,256)	(32,819)	(34,460)
		, _ 0	(=:,555)	(=5,555)	(=5,.55)	(= 1,200)	(-2,5.5)	(= ., .55)
Total Cash Flow	0	112,234	85,234	56,884	27,116	(4,140)	(36,959)	(71,419)
						, , ,	,	,

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 6

BUDGET MONITORING REPORT 2014 /15 - ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATION

Recommendations:

The Cabinet is recommended to agree the:

- Forecast Revenue Budget of £20.012m, giving a forecast outturn underspend of £0.858m.
- Forecast Capital Budget of £3.693m
- Allocation of £100,000 of the forecast outturn underspend to a new Earmarked Reserve, entitled "Alconbury and Molesworth Support and Challenge Fund".

This page is intentionally left blank